

# Reviewer Peer Review Guide

Respond to an invitation, read assigned files, complete the structured review form, and submit a recommendation.

## Who this guide is for: Invited reviewers

Use the current-role menu in the upper-right corner of the submission system to enter the workspace described in this guide.

## Respond to the invitation

The invitation email includes the manuscript title, abstract, response deadline, and review deadline. Follow the secure link or sign in and open **Reviewer workspace**.

1

### Accept

Accept when the topic is within your expertise and you can complete the review by the due date. The manuscript files become available after acceptance.

2

### Decline

Decline promptly if you cannot review. You may recommend another qualified reviewer by entering a name and email address.

## Competing interests

If you identify a relationship or circumstance that may affect your impartiality, decline or contact the editorial office before reviewing.



## In progress (1)

All Action required In progress Completed

Search submissions, ID, authors, keywords, etc

| ACTION        | MANUSCRIPT NUMBER | ARTICLE TYPE      | ARTICLE TITLE                                | CURRENT STATUS               | DATE REVIEWER INVITED | DAYS INVITATION OUTSTANDING | EDITOR'S NAME     | KEYWORDS |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Finish review | 2368              | Research Articles | Demonstration Manuscript: Nonlinear Dynamics | Round 1 - Review in progress | Aug 2, 2026, 5:00 AM  | —                           | Test Chief Editor | —        |

Showing 1 to 1 of 1

Reviewer dashboard: the active assignment appears under In progress after the invitation has been accepted.

## Download and review

L&H SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING  
Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity

CURRENT ROLE  
Reviewer

XS

Review: Demonstration Manuscript: Nonlinear Dynamics

1. Download & Review

2. Completion

MANUSCRIPT 2368

**Demonstration Manuscript: Nonlinear Dynamics**

By Alex Morgan

Review assignment

Assigned Aug 2, 2026, 5:00 AM

REVIEW SCHEDULE

ACCEPTED

REVIEW DUE

MANUSCRIPT FILES

Round 1

Aug 2, 2026

Aug 23, 2026

1 available

PEER REVIEW

**Complete your review**

Open the manuscript, assess each criterion, add comments, and submit your recommendation.

Review Files

2375

Cover\_letter\_SREINET\_PRX\_Intelligence-V3.pdf

August 2, 2026

Manuscript

REVIEWER GUIDELINES

[Review Guidelines](#)

L&H Structured Peer Review Form

Reviewer assignment: verify the manuscript, due date, and files before completing the review.

- Confirm the manuscript number and title at the top of the assignment.
- Check the accepted date and review due date in the review schedule.
- Open or download every file listed under Review Files. Only files selected by the editor are available.
- Use the journal's reviewer guidelines while assessing the manuscript.

# Complete the structured review

L&H SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING  
Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity

CURRENT ROLE  
Reviewer

XS

## L&H Structured Peer Review Form

### Recommendation

Choose your recommendation, then complete the assessment and comments before submitting the review.

Choose One

| Assessment criterion                                                                                                                                | Excellent             | Good                  | Adequate              | Needs major improvement | Not acceptable        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Originality and significance*</b><br><small>Assess the novelty and importance of the contribution.</small>                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>Scientific soundness and methodology*</b><br><small>Assess whether the methods and analysis are appropriate, rigorous, and reproducible.</small> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>Results and conclusions*</b><br><small>Assess whether the results support the conclusions and limitations are addressed.</small>                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>Presentation quality*</b><br><small>Assess organization, clarity, figures, tables, language, and references.</small>                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> |

### Comments to the authors \*

Provide specific, constructive comments and clearly identify essential revisions.

### Confidential comments to the editor

Use this field for integrity concerns, conflicts, or comments that should not be sent to the authors.

**Structured review: recommendation, assessment criteria, author comments, and confidential editor comments are clearly separated.**

1

## Choose a recommendation

At the beginning of the form choose **Accept**, **Minor revision**, **Major revision**, or **Decline**. The recommendation is visible only to editors.

2

## Assess the manuscript

Complete the required criteria for originality, scientific soundness and methodology, results and conclusions, and presentation quality.

3

## Write comments

Provide specific, constructive comments for the author. Put confidential information intended only for editors in the separate editor-comments field.

4

## Upload comments if needed

Use **Upload Review Comments** when an annotated manuscript or separate report is helpful. Remove identifying metadata when anonymity is required.

5

## Submit the review

Review your responses and submit. Submission is final; the system records the completion time and automatically sends the reviewer acknowledgement.

**Single-anonymous review**

The author can see comments released by the editor but does not see the reviewer's identity. Editors can see the full confidential review record.

## Assignment status

- Action required: an invitation is waiting for your response or another required action is due.
- In progress: you accepted the assignment and the review has not been submitted.
- Completed: the report was submitted successfully.
- A new review round is a separate assignment linked to the same manuscript. Respond to the newest active assignment.

**After submission**

No further action is required unless the editor contacts you. The editorial decision and any future invitation may arrive separately.